Rabbit population responses to predator removal in Hawke's Bay

Mandy Barron¹, Grant Norbury¹, Natalie de Burgh² ¹Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research ²Hawke's Bay Regional Council



Manaaki Whenua

TE KAUNIHERA Ā-ROHE O TE MATAU-A-MĀUI



What's the problem?

"The greatest barrier to conservation activities, and predator control activities specifically, may be a concern that the reduction of predator species will lead to an increase in rabbit numbers. More than 50% of participants agree to some extent that increasing rabbit numbers would be a consequence of reducing predator species."

McKelvie-Sebileau 2020 Landholder Perceptions of Predator Control in the Cape to City Region: Results from the Rural Survey.



What drives rabbit abundance?



What's the evidence?

"The evidence reviewed here is reasonably consistent: predation is a limiting factor for populations of rabbits, primarily through its effects on juvenile survival, and on rabbit abundance and population dynamics under certain conditions, but its effects are minor compared with the effects of climate, food, disease and habitat."

Norbury & Jones (2014) Pests controlling pests: does predator control lead to greater European rabbit abundance in Australasia?





Study Design

Spotlight Count Data

Poutiri Ao ō Tāne

- 2 sites with ongoing predator control, beginning 2012 (treatment)
- 3 sites with no predator control (non-treatment)
- Counts done: 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2017, 2019, 2021

Cape to City

- 3 predator treatment sites (control rolled out 2016 and 2017)
- 2 non-treatment sites
- Counts done annually: 2016-2021

Camera Trap Data

Cape to City

- 37 cameras in predator treatment area
- 31 in adjacent non-treatment area •
 - Cameras out for 3 weeks annually 2015-2020 (includes 1 yr pretreatment)
- Lagomorph (rabbits + hares)



Spotlight Counts - Poutiri Ao ō Tāne



Spotlight Counts - Cape to City



 \bigcirc

Camera traps - Cape to City



• Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)







- Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)
- Climate forcing?
 - Counts not synchronous between sites
 - Models with trend for year or global yearly effect poor fit
 - Having site-specific year effect better → more variation between years than between sites



Not much synchrony...



MANAAKI WHENUA – LANDCARE RESEARCH

- Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)
- Climate forcing?
 - Counts not synchronous between sites
 - Models with trend for year or global yearly effect poor fit
 - Having site-specific year effect better → more variation between years than between sites
- Site specific
 - Hunting, poisoning?

 -only 1 large scale control recorded (2012)



MANAAKI WHENUA – LANDCARE RESEARCH

- Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)
- Climate forcing?
 - Counts not synchronous between sites
 - Models with trend for year or global yearly effect poor fit
 - Having site-specific year effect better → more variation between years than between sites
- Site specific
 - Hunting, poisoning?

 -only 1 large scale control recorded (2012)
 - RHDV?

-site/year specific AND regulating -serology data available but only NT sites and half years missing



- Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)
- Climate forcing?
 - Counts not synchronous between sites
 - Models with trend for year or global yearly effect poor fit
 - Having site-specific year effect better → more variation between years than between sites
- Site specific
 - Hunting, poisoning?

 -only 1 large scale control recorded (2012)
 - RHDV?
 - -site/year specific AND regulating -serology data available but only NT sites and half years missing
- Indirect effects
 - e.g. climate + coccidiosis + density



- Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)
- Climate forcing?
 - Counts not synchronous between sites
 - Models with trend for year or global yearly effect poor fit
 - Having site-specific year effect better → more variation between years than between sites
- Site specific
 - Hunting, poisoning?

 -only 1 large scale control recorded (2012)
 - RHDV?
 - -site/year specific AND regulating
 - -serology data available but only NT sites and half years missing
- Indirect effects
 - e.g. climate + resources + density



June 23

MANAAKI WHENUA – LANDCARE RESEARCI

- Doesn't appear to be predators (and not consistent)
- Climate forcing?
 - Counts not synchronous between sites
 - Models with trend for year or global yearly effect poor fit
 - Having site-specific year effect better → more variation between years than between sites
- Site specific
 - Lethal Control Hunting, poisoning? Disease Predators -only 1 large scale control Nest drowning recorded (2012) **Rabbits** Hares RHDV? -site/year specific AND Shelter regulating Other Habitat Soils Food herbivores -serology data available but only NT sites and half Landcover/ years missing land use
- Indirect effects
 - e.g. RHDV + density + predators + resources

\bigcirc

So what is controlling rabbit numbers?

- We don't know!
- Complex interactions difficult to tease apart with spotlight data & coarse predictors (predator control was binary)
- Need annual data to detect density-dependence or predator-prey cycles
- Site-specific is a clue
- The good news is that predator control does not have a discernible effect on rabbit numbers

Note: the opposite is not true:





Thanks for listening! Thanks to the Hawkes Bay council staff and contractors who collected

the data

Questions?