






Business-*Un*-Usual


Global Change over the Next 100 Years and Implications for New Zealand



21st Century Global Challenge: How to “lift up” developing nations while maintaining developed nations.




Box 1. Towards a Green Economy: Twin Challenge





Relevant Research

- MAF SLMACC Project:
Triggers and Thresholds of Land-use Change in Relation to Climate Change and Other Key Trends
("Triggers Report" hereafter)
- MSI Ecosystem Services Project:
Exploring long-term national scenarios of landscape changes and ecosystem services
- Personal research





Key Trends Examined


- Agricultural Production
- Biodiversity
- Climate Change
- Economic Development
- Ecosystem Services
- Energy Resources
- Globalisation
- Land Use Change
- Mineral Resources
- Population & Migration
- Societal Preferences for Food & Fibre
- Water Security

Climate Change: Global Context





IPCC 4th Assessment Report Special Emissions Scenarios


A1




A2



B1



B2





IPCC AR4 SRES Summary

Key Trend	Summary	IPCC SRES SCENARIO					
		A1F1	A1B	A1T	A2	B1	B2
Climate	Average Temperature Change 1990-2099 (°C)	+4.0	+2.8	+2.4	+3.4	+1.8	+2.4
	Cumulative CO ₂ Emissions 1990-2100						
	Total (10 ⁹ Tonnes)	2189	1499	1068	1862	983	1164
	Fossil Fuels (10 ⁹ Tonnes)	128	1437	1038	1773	989	1160
	Land Use (10 ⁹ Tonnes)	61	62	31	89	-6	4
Economic Development	2100 Global GDP (1990 = 21)						
	Total (10 ¹² 1990 \$USD)	525	529	550	243	328	235
	Per Capita (10 ³ 1990 \$USD)	73.9	74.5	77.5	16.2	46.2	22.6
Energy	Primary Energy Use in 2100 (1990 = 351)						
	Global Total (10 ¹⁸ Joules/year)	2073	2226	2021	1717	514	1357
	Global Per Capita (10 ¹² Joules/year)	292	313	284	114	72	130
Globalisation	Global (A1/B1) versus Regional (A2/B2)	Global			Regional	Global	Regional
Population & Migration	World Population in 2100 (10 ⁹ people) (1990 = 5.3)	7.0			15.1	7.0	10.4
Technology	High vs. Low Rate of Progress	High			High	Low	Low



Agriculture

- Shifting patterns of production due to climate change
- Effects likely scale with magnitude of change but subject to complex effects (non-linearity)
- Regional “winners & losers”

Factor	Trend	Effect(s)
Temperature	↑	+ for growth to a certain threshold, - thereafter
CO ₂	↑	+ for plant growth
Precipitation	↕	Variable – shifting patterns
Extreme Events	↑	Increasing frequency & intensity
Weeds & Pests	↑	Increasing risk of invasion & spread, costs of control

Agriculture:



Estimated changes in cereal yields

A1F1

B1a





With CO₂

Without CO₂





With CO₂

Without CO₂






2020s



2050s



2080s





Biodiversity

- Diverse effects of climate change based on complex nature of ecosystems & ecological communities and their responses
- Winners & losers
- Overall climate change will exacerbate trends in biodiversity decline


Factor	Trend	Effects
Biodiversity	↓	Increased species extinctions
Habitats	↕	Shifts to higher latitudes and elevations
Disturbance	↑	Intensity & frequency
Coastal wetlands	↓	By 20% globally
Extinction risk	↑	For many species



Biodiversity

Range shifts to higher latitudes & elevations

Projected
ecosystem
shifts for 3 °C
increase
(HADCM-GCM)



Leemans & Eckhout. 2004. Another reason for concern: regional and global impacts on ecosystems for different levels of climate change. *Global Env. Change* 14: 219. Fig. 1.



Economic Development

- Broad range of projections to 2050 & 2100
 - SRES
 - Overall growth
 - Global GDP in 2100: \$243 - \$500 trillion USD
 - IMF & World Bank typically offer only short-term projections (e.g., 2020)
 - Differing assumptions & focus lead to different results

GDP Rank	IMF 2010	Hawksworth & Tiwari (2011) 2050	Ward (2011) 2050
1	USA	China	China
2	China	India	USA
3	Japan	USA	India
4	Germany	Brazil	Japan
5	France	Japan	Germany
6	UK	Russia	UK
7	Brazil	Mexico	Brazil
8	Italy	Indonesia	Mexico
9	Canada	Germany	France
10	India	UK	Canada
11	Russia	France	Italy
12	Spain	Turkey	Turkey
13	Australia	Nigeria	South Korea
14	Mexico	Vietnam	Spain
15	South Korea	Italy	Russia



Economic Development

- Broad range of projections to 2050 & 2100
 - SRES
 - Overall growth
 - Global GDP in 2100: \$243 - \$500 trillion USD
 - IMF & World Bank typically offer only short-term projections (e.g., 2020)
 - Differing assumptions & focus lead to different results
- Commonalities
 - Shift in world economic order

GDP Rank	IMF 2010	Hawksworth & Tiwari (2011) 2050	Ward (2011) 2050
1	USA	China	China
2	China	India	USA
3	Japan	USA	India
4	Germany	Brazil	Japan
5	France	Japan	Germany
6	UK	Russia	UK
7	Brazil	Mexico	Brazil
8	Italy	Indonesia	Mexico
9	Canada	Germany	France
10	India	UK	Canada
11	Russia	France	Italy
12	Spain	Turkey	Turkey
13	Australia	Nigeria	South Korea
14	Mexico	Vietnam	Spain
15	South Korea	Italy	Russia



Economic Development

- Broad range of projections to 2050 & 2100
 - SRES
 - Overall growth
 - Global GDP in 2100: \$243 - \$500 trillion USD
 - IMF & World Bank typically offer only short-term projections (e.g., 2020)
 - Differing assumptions & focus lead to different results
- Commonalities
 - Shift in world economic order
 - Rising stars: China, India, Mexico, Turkey

GDP Rank	IMF 2010	Hawksworth & Tiwari (2011)	
		2050	Ward (2011) 2050
1	USA	China	China
2	China	India	USA
3	Japan	USA	India
4	Germany	Brazil	Japan
5	France	Japan	Germany
6	UK	Russia	UK
7	Brazil	Mexico	Brazil
8	Italy	Indonesia	Mexico
9	Canada	Germany	France
10	India	UK	Canada
11	Russia	France	Italy
12	Spain	Turkey	Turkey
13	Australia	Nigeria	South Korea
14	Mexico	Vietnam	Spain
15	South Korea	Italy	Russia



Economic Development

- Broad range of projections to 2050 & 2100
 - SRES
 - Overall growth
 - Wide range in 2100: \$243 - \$500 trillion USD
 - IMF & World Bank offer only short-term projections (e.g., 2020)
 - Differing assumptions & focus lead to different results
- Commonalities
 - Shift in world economic order
 - Rising stars: China, India, Mexico, Turkey
 - EU decline

GDP Rank	IMF 2010	Hawksworth & Tiwari (2011)	
		2050	Ward (2011) 2050
1	USA	China	China
2	China	India	USA
3	Japan	USA	India
4	Germany	Brazil	Japan
5	France	Japan	Germany
6	UK	Russia	UK
7	Brazil	Mexico	Brazil
8	Italy	Indonesia	Mexico
9	Canada	Germany	France
10	India	UK	Canada
11	Russia	France	Italy
12	Spain	Turkey	Turkey
13	Australia	Nigeria	South Korea
14	Mexico	Vietnam	Spain
15	South Korea	Italy	Russia



Economic Development

- Broad range of projections to 2050 & 2100
 - SRES
 - Overall growth
 - Global GDP in 2100: \$243 - \$500 trillion USD
 - IMF & World Bank offer only short-term projections (e.g., 2020)
 - Differing assumptions & focus lead to different results
- Commonalities
 - Shift in world economic order
 - Rising stars: China, India, Mexico, Turkey
 - EU decline
 - Wildcards

GDP Rank	IMF 2010	Hawksworth & Tiwari (2011) 2050	Ward (2011) 2050
1	USA	China	China
2	China	India	USA
3	Japan	USA	India
4	Germany	Brazil	Japan
5	France	Japan	Germany
6	UK	Russia	UK
7	Brazil	Mexico	Brazil
8	Italy	Indonesia	Mexico
9	Canada	Germany	France
10	India	UK	Canada
11	Russia	France	Italy
12	Spain	Turkey	Turkey
13	Australia	Nigeria	South Korea
14	Mexico	Vietnam	Spain
15	South Korea	Italy	Russia



Ecosystem Services


- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment review of 24 ecosystem services
- Future trends difficult to gauge given lack of robust knowledge, data & models

PROVISIONING SERVICES			REGULATING SERVICES	
Food	Crops	▲	Air Quality Regulation	▼
	Livestock	▲	Climate Regulation	▼
	Capture Fisheries	▼	Global	▲
	Aquaculture	▲	Regional and Local	▼
	Wild Foods	▼	Water Regulation	+ / -
Fiber	Timber	+ / -	Erosion Regulation	▼
	Cotton, Hemp, Silk	+ / -	Water Purification and Waste Treatment	▼
	Wood Fuel	▼	Disease regulation	+ / -
Genetic Resources	▼	Pest Regulation	▼	
Biochemicals, Pharmaceuticals, Natural Medicines	▼	Pollination	▼	
Freshwater	▼			
CULTURAL SERVICES				
Spiritual and Religious Values	▼	Recreation and Ecotourism	+ / -	
Aesthetic Values	▼			

Ecosystem Services




Food Security



% Δ on rainfed cereal production

Fischer et al. 2005. Socio-economic and climate change impacts on agriculture: an integrated assessment, 1990–2080. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 360: 2067. Fig. 6.


Malaria Risk



Δ Vulnerability to 2080 (A1FI)


van Lieshout et al. 2004. Climate change and malaria: analysis of the SRES climate and socio-economic scenarios. *Global Env. Change* 14: 87. Fig. 7.

Water Stress




Alcamo et al. 2007. Future long-term changes in global water resources driven by socio-economic and climatic changes. *Hydrological Sciences* 52(2): 247. Fig. 7.

Carbon Cycling



Predicted Δ Total Carbon 1990-2100

Levy et al. 2004. Modelling the impact of future changes in climate, CO₂ concentration and land use on natural ecosystems and the terrestrial carbon sink. *Global Env. Change* 14: 21. Fig. 6.



Changing Climate




Energy

- Energy consumption & production expected to increase
- Conventional oil fields declining at ~5-6% per annum (IEA)
- Substantial increase in non-OECD production & consumption – but still below per-capita OECD rates
- Uncertainties centre on ability of non-conventional resources to replace conventional resources
- Depends on relative rates/costs
 - Development of new sources
 - Growth in energy consumption
 - Efficiency increases

Energy		2007	2035	Growth (%)	Units
CONSUMPTION					
OECD		245.7	280.7	14.4	10 ¹⁵ Btu
Non-OECD		249.5	458.0	83.6	
PRODUCTION					
Conventional Liquids		81.4	110.6	30.4	10 ⁶ barrels / day
Unconventional Liquids		3.5	13.0	271.4	
Natural Gas	OECD	1.1	1.3	18.2	10 ¹² m ³ / year
	Non-OECD	1.9	3.1	63.2	
Coal	OECD	41.6	49.3	18.5	10 ¹⁵ Btu
	Non-OECD	91.1	157.5	72.9	
Electricity	OECD	10.1	13.6	34.7	10 ¹⁵ watts / year
	Non-OECD	8.6	21.6	151.2	

Source: US Energy Information Agency
World Energy Outlook 2010, Reference Case


Historic Trends in Oil Resources



Data Sources: BP Statistical Review of World Energy 2011; UN Population Prospects, 2010 Revision



Barrels of Oil Consumed vs. GDP (PPP) per Capita - 2010






Globalisation

- Historic global trends towards globalisation and free trade
- Difficulties with global free trade agreements
- Increasing rise of regional or multi-party agreements (e.g, bilateral or multi-lateral free trade agreements)
- Assumptions about globalisation & regionalisation trends usually a key driver in global scenario studies

Agreement	Year	Members
South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Cooperation Agreement	1981	Australia, New Zealand and developing islands in Pacific Islands Forum
Australia New Zealand Closer Economic Relations Trade Agreement	1983	Australia, New Zealand
NZ-Singapore Closer Economic Partnership	2001	New Zealand, Singapore
Trans-Pacific Strategic Economic Partnership (P4)	2005	Brunei, Chile, New Zealand Singapore.
NZ-Thailand Closer Economic Partnership	2005	New Zealand, Thailand
NZ-China Free Trade Agreement	2008	New Zealand, China
ASEAN-Australia-NZ Free Trade Area	2010	ASEAN countries, Australia, New Zealand
New Zealand-Malaysia Free Trade Agreement	2010	New Zealand, Malaysia



Global Land Use





Mineral Resources


- Long-term trends
 - Increasing extraction rates
 - Lower ore quality (e.g. g/tonne)
 - Increasing access to previously uneconomic reserves
- Key minerals for NZ
 - Agricultural
 - Phosphate
 - Potash
 - Calcium
 - Sulphur
 - Iron
 - Construction/manufacturing
 - Aluminium
 - Iron ore (for steel)
 - High tech – rare earths
- Competing trends affecting supply/demand balance
 - Rate of demand growth
 - Technology
 - Recycling (for some)

Mineral	Supply Remaining (Years)		
	0% Growth	1% Growth	3% Growth
Phosphorus	301	140	78
Potash	500	180	94
Bauxite	185	105	64
Iron	73	55	39
Beryllium	444	170	90
Bismuth	117	78	51
Rare Earths	1210	259	122
Titanium	240	123	71
Vanadium	633	200	101

Source: US Geological Survey 2009




Population & Migration





NZ Population & Migration


New Zealand Population Projections to 2061 & 2100 - StatsNZ Base 2009



Societal Preferences for Food & Fibre





- Increasing historic and projected future consumption



Societal Preferences for Food & Fibre





- Increasing historic and projected future consumption
- Increased demand for meat & dairy
 - Meat: 231 to 483 (+90%) Billion Kg per Year
 - Dairy: 483 to 928 (+109%) Billion Kg per Year



Societal Preferences for Food & Fibre




- Increasing historic and projected future consumption
- Increased demand for meat & dairy
 - Meat: 231 to 483 (+90%) Billion Kg per Year
 - Dairy: 483 to 928 (+109%) Billion Kg per Year
- “Other food” demand increases from 216 to 340 kg / capita / year (+63%)





Water

- Changes to water resources highly heterogeneous
- Equatorial countries of Asia, Africa and meso America could be hardest hit
- Modelling trends by country can tend to mask trends within countries








Water

Change in water resources stress: 2055

Watersheds with <1000 m³/capita/year



1995



National scale

Watershed scale




IPCC AR5: The New Global Context




AR4

AR5

a) Sequential approach




b) Parallel approach




IPCC AR5: The New Global Context


GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE



GLOBAL CLIMATE MODELLING



POTENTIAL GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE (TRENDS, VARIABILITY) INCL. NZ




	Global mean warming ($^{\circ}C$)			
	2011-2030	2046-2065	2080-2099	2180-2199
A2	0.64	1.65	3.13	
A1B	0.69	1.75	2.65	3.36
B1	0.66	1.29	1.79	2.10

Environmental media (= sectors)	Water			Soils & land			Atmosphere			Terrestrial ecosystems		
	Water resources	Soils & land	Atmosphere	Water resources	Soils & land	Atmosphere	Water resources	Soils & land	Atmosphere	Water resources	Soils & land	Atmosphere
Jurisdiction / management scale	National	National	National	National	National	National	National	National	National	National	National	National
	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council
	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council	City Council
	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries	Industries
Social sector	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural	Rural
	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban
	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori	Māori
Economic scale	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro	Macro
	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro

- Global assumptions (scenarios) drive climate change modelling
- Impacts & implications are a function of
 - Climate change
 - Other assumptions/trends
 - All of the above interacting in various ways & degrees

IPCC AR5: The New Global Context



- Global assumptions (scenarios) drive climate change modelling
- Impacts & implications are a function of
 - Climate change
 - Other assumptions/trends
 - All of the above interacting in various ways & degrees


media (= sectors)	resources		ecosystems
Jurisdiction / management scale	National Council	National Council	National Council
	Regional Council	Regional Council	Regional Council
	City Council	City Council	Conservancy
	Industries	Etc...	Community / private
	Etc...		Etc...
Social sector	Rural	Rural	Rural
	Urban	Urban	Urban
	Māori	Māori	Māori
	Etc...	Etc...	Etc...
Economic scale	Macro	Macro	Macro
	Micro	Micro	Micro




Personal Footnote

- 2012 marks the 40th anniversary of the publication of *The Limits to Growth*
- After 40 years of research, *The Limits to Growth* still represents one of the most robust analyses of global trends to 2100 undertaken
- Why? Because unlike even modern efforts in AR5, the *Limits to Growth* model (“World3”) was a true systems model that dynamically linked economy, environment, society and allowed for feedbacks and exploration of non-linear effects.
- Graham Turner from CSIRO published a paper recently that compared historic trends over the past 30 years to *The Limits to Growth* scenarios. His conclusion was that we are currently tracking the Standard Run (e.g., Business as Usual).

Limits to Growth Standard Run



Implications for New Zealand




Implications:

- Overall a sense that things will soon return to “normal” (i.e. economic growth) after the recent global recession – many would disagree!
- Business-As-Usual will continue
- NZ only needs to act smartly to take advantage of the emerging opportunities (e.g., free trade, Green Growth)



Implications for New Zealand



Implications for New Zealand



Implications:

Better

- Anybody's guess really (therefore depends on opinions & values)

- While the typical view is one of increasing uncertainty, we can be sure of some things:

- ↑ Population growth (initially)
- ↑ Competition for resources
- ↑ Prices

- ↓ Resources per capita
- ↓ Ecosystem Services per capita
- ↓ Conventional energy sources
- ↓ Biodiversity

Worse

Long Term (100 Years)

- Business-*Un-Knowable*?
- Very high range of variability & divergence
 - Population
 - Climate
 - Energy & Resources
 - Land Use
 - Biodiversity
 - Water Security
 - Food Security
- Population-Climate-Energy-Food-Water nexus is particularly vexing

2012

2050

2100

Implications for New Zealand



Implications:

Long Term
(100 Years)

Better

In the long term, our success will depend on our ability to recognise and avoid irreversible outcomes and maintain adaptability & resilience.

If we maintain options, we foster opportunity.

If do not maintain options, we foster misery.

- ↓ Conventional energy sources

news/bad news

Worse

2012

2050

2100



Kia ora

rutledged@landcarereserch.co.nz