**Species Diversity** 

Genetic Diversity

**Ecosystem Diversity** 



## What you will hear in this session



# Framework for assessing the role of biodiversity in ecosystem services in NZ

Suzie Greenhalgh NZ Ecological Society Conference November 18, 2015





Landcare Research Manaaki Whenua



Sin state in the

forests - products - innovation

### Ecosystem services classification



PROVISIONING Products obtained from ecosystems	REGULATING Benefits from regulation of ecosystem processes	CULTURAL Non-material benefits obtained from ecosystems		
Food & fibre Freshwater Biomass fuel Wildfoods Ornamental resources Biochemical, natural medicines & pharmaceuticals Genetic resources	Air quality regulation Climate regulation Water regulation Erosion control Water purification & waste treatment Biological control Disease regulation Pollination Natural hazard regulation	Recreation & ecotourism Ethical & spiritual • Aesthetic values • Spiritual & religious values • Cultural heritage values • Social relations • Sense of place • Cultural diversity Inspirational & education • Inspiration • Educational values • Knowledge systems		
SUPPORTING				

Services necessary for the production of all other ecosystem services

Nutrient & water cycling Primary production (e.g. photosynthesis) Production of atmospheric oxygen Provisioning of habitat Soil formation & retention



## Biodiversity, Ecosystem Services & Well-being



"National governments must move beyond a narrow understanding of wealth....ignoring the state of assets like forests or coastal areas – vital natural capital.

In the UK we're reforming our national accounts so that, by 2020, they also reflect our natural wealth."

May 2012 - Nick Clegg, Deputy Prime Minister, UK

"We believe that the current economic model is no longer viable and must give way to a new business paradigm,... ... one that works with nature rather

than against it"

Jochen Zeitz, CEO, Puma Ltd

## **Global Perspective**



## Where is NZ at?



## Ecosystem Services in Policy: New Zealand's RMA Framework



Source: www.qualityplanning.org.nz

## Ecosystem services & regional councils – RPS review

Region	Document
Auckland	Auckland Plan 2012
Waikato	Proposed Regional Policy Statement (November 2012)
Wellington	Proposed Regional Policy Statement 2010
Canterbury	Regional Policy Statement 2013
Southland	Proposed Regional Policy Statement 2012

## **Example: Waikato Regional Council**

Proposed Waikato Regional Policy Statement Decisions

– Issue 1.1:

Declining quality & quantity of natural & physical resources impacts their life-supporting capacity, reduces intrinsic values & ecosystem services & in general reduces our ability to provide for our well-being.

– Objective 3.7:

The range of *ecosystem services* associated with natural resources are recognized & maintained or enhanced to enable on-going contribution to regional well-being. Proposed Waikato Regional Policy Statement (November 2010)



## **Business: Action on ecosystems services**



Businesses rely and depend on ecosystems & ecosystem services

## **Corporate Ecosystem Services Review (ESR)**

#### THE CORPORATE ECOSYSTEM SERVICES REVIEW



**Guidelines for Identifying Business Risks** and Opportunities Arising from Ecosystem Change

Version 1.0

- A step by step methodology for identifying ecosystem service risks & opportunities
- Used by over 300 companies world wide, including in Australia
- Equal focus on dependencies and impact
- First application in NZ: Zespri







## **ESR Steps**

1. Select the scope	2. Identify priority ecosystem services	3. Analyze trends in priority services	4. Identify business risks & opportunities	5. Develop strategies
Choose boundary within which to conduct ESR: -Business Unit -Product -Market -Landholdings -Customers -Suppliers	Systematically evaluate the degree of a company's dependence & impact on 20+ ecosystem services	Research & evaluate conditions & trends in the priority ecosystem services, & drivers of these trends	Identify & evaluate business risks & opportunities that might arise due to the trends in these priority ecosystem services	Outline strategies for minimizing risks & maximizing opportunities through internal changes, sector or stakeholder engagement, policy-maker engagement

Archeus

ACTEARCA Isteries lumited Contact URS Fonterra



Landcare Research Manaaki Whenua

We had never done anything (ESR) of this nature .....it was a 'leap of faith', an opportunity presented to us....surprised at the amount of information we've got out of it and what it might mean going forward. - Allyn Glaysher, AFL

We are unanimous that .... ESR provides for stakeholder engagement, plus the different "lens" through which to view our operations ....pull previously disparate information & streams of work together, has been invaluable. - Nicholas Robinson, GM Corporate Affairs, Contact

.....introduced a new language of ecosystem services to our planners and engineers and helped build a business case for developing...capability in green infrastructure & ecosystem services assessment. - Mark Drury, CEO, URS New Zealand

....ESR process is an essential tool for developing a business in an ecologically sustainable way.

 Georgina Langdale, founder & director, Archeus

## What the companies said

... ecosystem services approach....quickly leads to thinking about the supply chain & ecosystem dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities beyond the manufacturing process. - Nic Bishop, Fonterra



Landowners: Perceptions of Ecosystem Services

- Rural land is comprised of different land units
- Each land units provides different types and scale of ecosystem services

## How landowners scored their land?





Ecosystem 2 3 4 5 Service Provides some of the Provisioning Provides Provides Provides a level of Provides more of the Services low levels service (e.g. yield, service (e.g. vield, highest levels of a no service (e.g. yield, of service production) similar production) than service Count production) in the to most of the most but is not top region performing region; is top performing Regulating Provides more than **Provides** Provides Provides an Provides the highest Services no little service average level of average service but level of a service in the not the highest level service service in the region of a service in the region region Provides the highest Cultural **Provides** Provides Provides an Provides more than Services few nonaverage amount of average non-material amount of non-material no benefits but not the material non-material benefits in the region service highest amount in the benefits benefit in the region region Count Supporting Provides the highest **Provides** Provides Provides an Provides more than Services no little service average level of average service but level of a service in the not the highest level region service service in the region of a service in the region

Chart of Simple LU, Recreation and ecotourism



Took awhile to get my head around it but felt that it made me think about my management differently ~dairy farmer I thought we all produced meat but now realise how much we all depend on aesthetics and ecotourism [many farmers had farm stays when time were tough] "sheep and beef farmer

What do farmers think?

> It was really hard & stretched my mind but it was good ~sheep and beef/cropping farmer

I really struggle to explain the environment to other farmers & I think this could get them on board...and we get some change ~ dairy farmer



der



11 -1 11

XA

#### Māori Aspirations

Tangible and Intangible Benefits

Provisioning

Regulating

Cultural

Supporting

**Ecosystem Services** 

#### Cultural Values

Cat

Mātauranga Māori

## Landscape Level Decisions

<u>Conservation areas</u>: habitat, pollinators, pest control, recreation, cultural significance

> **<u>River</u>: Food, fresh water,** recreation, cultural significance

**Forests:** Timber, carbon storage, clean water & air, recreation

Farmland: Food, waste recycling, pest regulation

Source: www.biodiversityplanningtoolkit.com

#### Resilient landscape → Sustainable Land Uses → Community Well being

## **BEST Decision-making Framework**



## Piloting the BEST Framework in the Rangitāiki



#### Why this catchment?

- Co-governance Forum --The Rangitāiki River Forum -have clear vision for the catchment
- Diversity of landscapes, land uses & stakeholders
- Multiple potential future options
- Variety of implementation pathways



Seven workshops

## **Many Thanks**

## Suzie Greenhalgh Greenhalghs@landcareresearch.co.nz





## Where is NZ in this timeline and are we future-proofed???

2020	•EU member states start reporting Nat Cap in National Accounts
2015	<ul> <li>India publishes first Nat Cap accounts</li> <li>World Bank delivers WAVES Nat Cap accounting project</li> </ul>
2014	•EU member states submit 1 <sup>st</sup> assessments and economic valuation of ecosystems
	<ul> <li>Brazilian Business Coalition call for ecosystem inclusion in business practice</li> <li>39 banks, 50+ countries, big biz sign Natural Capital Declaration at Rio</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>10 African countries sign accord to report on state of natural capital</li> </ul>
2012	<ul> <li>Chinese government works with TEEB</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Nordic Countries agree to undertake Nordic TEEB</li> </ul>
2011	<ul> <li>•UK National Ecosystem Assessment published and Natural Capital committee established</li> </ul>
2010	Final TEEB report published

## **Business support tools**



## **Overview** [TO DELETE]

- Global context
- Overview of where NZ is at
  - Business
  - Community/councils
  - Farmers
- Using ES in decisions
  - ES framework
  - Rangitaiki process
- Where next and what do we need to do



### Upcoming Business Issues: Biodiversity & Ecosystem Services



Percentage of Respondents